Date:

## Dreambuilder

4/28/2015

1361 E. Lawson Ln, Placentia, Ca 92870 Ph (714) 646-3697, fax (714) 646-3698

Civil Engineering Construction

## **Bid Protest Letter**

Department of Transportation
Office Engineer MS 43
1727 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento, Ca 95816
Ph: 916-227-6280 Fax: 916-227-6282

Attn: Office Engineer

Sub: Bid protest for Caltrans Contract # 03-4M4604 Bid open on 4/16/2015 @ 2:00PM in Sacramento Reference: Caltrans bid proposal for Contract # 03-4M4604

Dear Sir / Madam,

We bid this project and we were 3rd bidder on this project. We are filing fomal bid protest as follows:

- 1. Bid proposal was submitted by 1<sup>st</sup> bidder Lorang Brothers Construction, but no bid bond is submitted with bid, bidder's security is material requirement of bid, hence their bid is a non-responsive bid.
- 2. Bid proposal was submitted by 2<sup>nd</sup> bidder Kecia Pipeline Inc, but submitted bid bond with bid document is not valid bid due to following reason:

As per revised Standard Specification, Section 2-1.34, BIDDER SECURITY state in part:

Submit your bid with of the following forms of bidder's security equal at least 10% of ... Bidder's bond signed by a surery insurer who is licensed in California. If using a bidder's bond, you may use the form in the bid book. If don't use the form in the bid book, use a form containing same information.

Submitted bid bond form is used by Kecia Pipeline Inc. does not contain same information provided in bid book's bid bond form.

Attorney in Fact - Carley Espiritu signature need to be notarized by a Notary which is not notarized, hence Carley Espiritu signature is not valid and hence bid bond submitted by Kecia Pipeline Inc. is not a valid bid bond.

Since bidder' security is a material requirement of the bid, hence their bid is non responsive bid.

Both bidders' bid is non responsive bid and therfore bid should be awarded to Dreambuilder.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours, truly,

Alex Singh

Project Coordinator.